

The District Court reviewed *de novo* those portions of the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation to which objections were made, and reviewed the remaining Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation for plain error. Finding no error, I am of the opinion that the findings of fact, conclusions of law, and reasons for denial set forth in the Magistrate Judge's

Recommendation are correct and they are hereby adopted and incorporated by reference as the Findings of the Court.

For the foregoing reasons, the Motion to Appoint Counsel and Stay of Forfeiture (ECF No. 575) is **DENIED**.

The Court notes that, on January 30, 2019, Petitioners filed a motion for extension of time in which to file a reply to the Government's response to their motion. ECF No. 582. Thereafter, Petitioners filed their reply. ECF No. 583. The Magistrate Judge considered Petitioners' reply in his Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation. *See* ECF No. 584 at 1. Petitioners' motion for extension of time in which to file their reply (ECF No. 582) is therefore **DENIED** as **MOOT**.

A copy of this order shall be mailed to Muhammed Rafiq.

**SO ORDERED** this **10th day of October 2019**.

  
Reed O'Connor  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE